HONORABLE THOMAS S. ZILLY 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 9 AT SEATTLE 10 LEONARD C. LINDSAY and 11 C20-982 TSZ CARL E.W. ZEHNER, 12 STIPULATED MOTION AND Plaintiffs, ORDER TO EXTEND DEADLINE 13 TO FILE MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION v. 14 CARNIVAL CORPORATION, 15 CARNIVAL PLC, HOLLAND AMERICA LINE, INC., HOLLAND AMERICA LINE - U.S.A., INC., 16 17 Defendants. 18 19 The parties, by and through counsel, file this Stipulated Motion and Order to Extend 20 Deadline to File Motion for Class Certification pursuant to LCR 23(i)(3) as follows: 21 Plaintiffs filed their original Class Action and Individual Complaint for Damages 1. 22 June 24, 2020, individually and on behalf of similarly situated persons, seeking damages for 23 injuries sustained in connection with the COVID pandemic while on board the MS Zaandam passenger-cruise ship. (Dkt. 1). 24 25 2. On August 25, 2020, after Defendants appeared but before a responsive pleading 26 was filed, the Parties filed a stipulation allowing Plaintiffs to file a First Amended Complaint by STIPULATED MOTION AND ORDER TO EXTEND

September 11, 2020. (Dkt. 21). The stipulation further provided that Defendants would have until October 2, 2020 to file a motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12, with a noting date of November 6, 2020. This Stipulation was granted by United States Magistrate Judge Theresa L. Fricke on August 27, 2020. (Dkt. 24).

- 3. Plaintiffs filed their First Amended Individual Complaint and Complaint Class Action for Damages on September 11, 2020. (Dkt. 26). Defendants filed a motion to dismiss under Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(1), 12(b)(6) and 23(d)(1)(D) on November 2, 2020. (Dkt. 37).
- 4. On September 22, 2020, Judge Gary Klausner issued a ruling on a motion to dismiss in another COVID-19 cruise line case, *Archer et al. v. Carnival Corporation and PLC et al.*, 2:20-cv-04203-RGK-SK (C.D. Cal. Sept. 22, 2020) in which the court dismissed, without prejudice, several of the same claims at issue in this case. After conferring with Defendants' counsel and reviewing Defendants' motion to dismiss, the Parties stipulated that the most efficient procedure would for Defendants to withdraw their pending Motion to Dismiss and modify the pleading the schedule to allow Plaintiffs to file a Second Amended Complaint to address some changes to the parties and address the issues raised in the *Archer* ruling.
- 5. On October 21, 2020, the Parties filed a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order to Amend Pleading Schedule requesting leave for Plaintiffs to file a Second Amended Complaint by October 30, 2020. (Dkt. 45). The parties further stipulated that Defendants should have until November 20, 2020 to file a responsive pleading or motion under Rule 12; that Plaintiffs' should file any response to a motion to dismiss by December 11, 2020; and that Defendants' shall file any reply by the noting date of December 25, 2020. This Stipulation was granted by a Minute Entry on October 30, 2020.
- 6. Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Class Action and Individual Complaint for Damages on October 30, 2020. (Dkt. 46). Defendants filed their Motion to Dismiss on November 20, 2020. (Dkt. 50). Pursuant to the Stipulation and Court's order, the briefing on this Motion

will be completed on December 25, 2020.

- 7. Pursuant to LCR 23(i)(3), "within one hundred eighty days after the filing of a complaint in a class action, unless otherwise ordered by the court or provided by statute, the plaintiff shall move for determination under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(1), as to whether the case is to be maintained as a class action."
 - 8. To date, no scheduling order has been issued by the Court.
- 9. Based on the original complaint filing date, the deadline for Plaintiffs to move for class certification under the Local Rules is December 21, 2020. If the date is calculated from the First Amended Complaint, the deadline would be March 10, 2021; and if calculated from the Second Amended Complaint, the deadline would be April 28, 2021.
- 10. The Parties stipulate that the deadline for filing a motion for class certification should be extended and set for sixty (60) days after the Court issues its ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss.
- 11. This extension is sought for good cause. The Parties previously stipulated that Plaintiffs could amend their complaint on two occasions to preemptively address issues that were raised by Defendants and by the *Archer* ruling. No scheduling order has been issued and no discovery has occurred. Currently, the noting date for Defendants' Motion to Dismiss is not until four days *after* the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification. As a result, the Parties do not know which claims will proceed. Accordingly, if Plaintiffs filed a motion for class certification on December 21, 2020, portions of it could be mooted by a subsequent ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. Given the posture of the case, an extension of the deadline to file a motion for class certification is warranted to address the preliminary pleading issues and to allow the parties to commence discovery.
- 12. The Parties therefore request that the Court extend the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification to sixty (60) days after the Court issues its ruling on

1	Defendants' Motion to Dismiss of	r some other later date, subject to modification and further
2		·
3	extension in future scheduling or	ders.
4	Dated: December 15, 2020	LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP
5		By: /s/ Christopher E. Coleman
6		Christopher E. Coleman
7		Mark P. Chalos (pro hac vice) Email: mchalos@lchb.com
8		Kenneth S. Byrd (pro hac vice)
		Email: kbyrd@lchb.com Christopher E. Coleman (<i>pro hac vice</i>)
9		Email: ccoleman@lchb.com
10		222 2nd Avenue South, Suite 1640 Nashville, TN 37201
11		Telephone: 615.313.9000
12		Facsimile: 615.313.9965
		TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC
13		Jason T. Dennett, WSBA #30686
14		Email: jdennett@tousley.com
		Kim D. Stephens, WSBA #11984 Email: kstephens@tousley.com
15		Rebecca L. Solomon, WSBA #51520
16		1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200
		Seattle, Washington 98101
17		Telephone: 206.682.5600
18		Facsimile: 206.682.2992
19		LIEFF CABRASER HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP Elizabeth J. Cabraser (pro hac vice)
20		Email: ecabraser@lchb.com
		Jonathan D. Selbin (<i>pro hac vice</i>) Email: jselbin@lchb.com
21		275 Battery Street, 29th Floor
22		San Francisco, CA 94111-3339 Telephone: 415.956.1000
23		Facsimile: 415.956.1008
24		
25		
26		

Case 2:20-cv-00982-TSZ Document 55 Filed 12/16/20 Page 5 of 6

1		BARRETT JOHNSTON MARTIN & GARRISON, LLC David W. Garrison
2		Email: dgarrison@barrettjohnston.com Philips Plaza
3		414 Ûnion Street, Suite 900
4		Nashville, TN 37219 Telephone: 615.244.2202
5		Facsimile: 615.252.3798
6		Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class
7	Dated: December 15, 2020	FLYNN, DELICH & WISE LLP
8		By: /s/ Nicholas S. Politis
9		Nicholas S. Politis, WSBA #50375
9		One World Trade Center, Suite 1800 Long Beach, CA 90831-1800
10		Telephone: (562) 435-2626
11		Email: nicholasp@fdw-law.com
12		Attorney for Defendants, Holland America
12		Line, Inc., Holland America Line – USA, Inc.,
13		Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc
14		ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
15		By: /s/ Jonathan W. Hughes
16		Jonathan W. Hughes
17		Three Embarcadero Center, 10th Floor
1 /		San Francisco, CA 94111
18		Telephone: (415) 471-3100 Facsimile: (415) 471-3400
19		Email: jonathan.hughes@arnoldporter.com
		ADMOLD & DODTED MANE SCHOLED LLD
20		ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP David J. Weiner*
21		601 Massachusetts Ave., NW
22		Washington, D.C. 20001
22		Tel.: (202) 942-5000 Fax: (202) 942-5999
23		Email: david.weiner@arnoldporter.com
24		-
25		
26		

1	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP Christopher M. Odell*
2	700 Louisiana St., Suite 4000
3	Houston, TX 77002 Tel.: (713) 576-2400
4	Fax: (713) 576-2499 christopher.odell@arnoldporter.com
5	ARNOLD & PORTER KAYE SCHOLER LLP
6	Katie J.L. Scott*
7	3000 El Camino Real, 5 Palo Alto Sq. Suite 500 Palo Alto, CA 94022 Tel.: (650) 319-4500
8	Fax: (650) 319-4700
9	Email: <u>katie.scott@arnoldporter.com</u>
10	*Application to appear pro hac vice pending
11	Attorneys for Defendants, Carnival Corporation and Carnival plc
12	Carnivai Corporation and Carnivai pic
13	
	0
14	ORDER
1415	ORDER PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for
15	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for
15 16	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.)
15 16 17	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020
15 16 17 18	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.)
15 16 17 18 19	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020 Thomas S. Zilly
15 16 17 18 19 20	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020 Thomas S. Zilly
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020 Thomas S. Zilly
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020 Thomas S. Zilly
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PURSUANT TO THE STIPULATION, THE COURT ORDERS that the deadline for Plaintiffs to file a motion for class certification under LCR 32(i)(3) is extended to sixty (60) days after the Court issues it ruling on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss. (Dkt. 50.) DATED: December 16, 2020 Thomas S. Zilly